

## Questions to the Panel

1. As there is no support for development of parkland and public spaces will 302b, 83F1 and 83F2 remain in their current state to the benefit of all Ontarians?
2. In 1999 the provincial government implemented Ontario's Living Legacy Land Use Strategy which resulted in the extension of the Mattawa River Provincial Park to include the parcels designated as 83-F1 and 83-F2. The rationale was "to protect the historical, ecological, environmental and recreational value of this area for future generations." Why is this strategy no longer applicable? Why is it acceptable to take away parkland used extensively for recreational purposes without acknowledgement and compensation?
3. Why has there been such little consultation and communications with taxpayers over the past 10 years?
4. Is giving away parkland and public lands for development the only and best solution to addressing historical issues? Why not give away Algonquin Park for example? Will development of parkland provide a long term and lasting solution that is the best possible for all taxpayers?
5. It is obvious from the materials provided by the proponents they are planning intensive development of very fragile lands without regard to 300 meter setback provision, protecting species at risk, protecting water quality and unfettered use of infrastructure paid for by taxpayers. What conditions is the province attaching to this transfer that would ensure proper and sustainable development? Is it the province's intent to ignore the 300 meter setback provision?
6. What is the body of evidence, scientific and environmental to support the conclusion "there is no anticipated net effect associated with the transfer of proposed settlement lands into AOO ownership"? As a professional Forester my extensive survey of the lands involved finds this statement to be untrue.
7. Municipal infrastructure cannot support this development as the municipality is very rural. Macpherson Drive and Centennial Crescent are not capable of safely handling any more traffic. Is the province paying for new roads and infrastructure or downloading their responsibility to local taxpayers?