

| Guillemette Road - 50 to 40  |     |
|--|-----|
| Letters sent: 43   |     |
| Yes  | No  |
| 17   | 3   |
| 85%  | 15% |
| <b>Comments:</b>   |     |
| We understand you are looking for feedback regarding the speed limit on Guillemette rd being lowered from 50 to 40 kph. We live on Northwoods dr (off Guillemette) and do our daily walks on Guillemette. With all the new home construction and associated traffic, we do see lots of vehicles - some of them travelling faster than we think is safe for the walkers. We hope the speed limit IS reduced on this road. Understand there is a mail in form coming to our mail box, but we are departing for the sunny south shortly and wanted to give our input.   |     |
| I would like to see it lowered as there are lots of young children here. I feel more needs to be put towards enforcement as this will only help with people that already follow the rules with lowering limits.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. Lots of walkers on this road and too many blind hills/curves coupled with high speeds pose a hazard.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. I walk this road daily and it's the same residents that speed, as well as construction and delivery trucks. If you don't monitor the road no one will slow down regardless of the speed limit.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. Guillemette Rd desperately needs a wider road, a path/sidewalk. Narrow winding roads are very dangerous for people walking dogs or children.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. There are many vehicles now travelling well in excess of 50km/h. Perhaps 40km/h will slow them down somewhat. There are many children living on Guillemette and many people walking themselves and/or their dogs on the road. Its dangerous.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. Due to increase development more pedestrians & kids. Not to mention wildlife seen on daily basis on roadway.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. Must be done.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. This should have been resolved before the curve signs were installed! 90 degree curve should be slower than the rest of the road. Waste of tax dollars.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. Traffic has really increased with the recent building & construction and all the new families moving into this area. It is no longer a safe road to walk run or ride a bicycle on. There are too many sudden bends and little hills & knolls that you come across quickly on the road to safely travel 50kms. It is hard to see what is around a corner or over a hill when you are travelling so fast. The speed limit needs to be lowered to 40kms to help reduce the risk of collision with people, vehicles and animals. This is also a busy school bus route for children going and returning to school, so sudden stops around corners and our hills are frequently necessary. Lowering the speed limit to 40kms, I feel, would help reduce the risk of accidents and collisions on Guillemette. |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. This is very much appreciated and has been a concern of ours for years; especially as the number of residents on this street continues to grow.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 40. I am surprised that this issue has been taken to a vote. We are talking "safety" and "safety" should NEVER be taken to a vote.   |     |
| No maintain 50. Speed limit decrease to 40 is too slow, especially for commuters. Road improvements and upgrading on Guillemette needs to happen. Shoudlers on one side a minimum need to be done. The road is not suitable for the amount of traffic it is seeing. This road has seen SIGNIFICANT traffic increase the past couple years that will only get worse with all the new builds. Road improvements are needed for safety and amount of traffic. The road becomes almost a lane and a half in the winter with snow. Widen things up and get shoulders.   |     |
| No maintain 50. 40 is too slow! 50 is safe!  |     |



| Lavigne Road - 60 to 50   |     |
|---|-----|
| Letters sent: 65  |     |
| Yes   | No  |
| 18  | 8   |
| 69%   | 31% |
| <b>Comments:</b>  |     |
| Please lower speed limit. We have numerous vehicles that speed and I'm sure go way faster than 60. We have children that ride their bikes and people that walk the road and it has become very dangerous. Perhaps we could also get a police presence once in a while for speeding. |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. We support this change as there are many children that live in the area and traffic does tend to drive too fast. A speed reduction should also help with dust and stones being kicked up by trucks.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. More frequent grading of road   |     |
| We would like the speed to be dropped to 50 because my driveway is on the top of the 1st hill and I can not see the cars or trucks coming up the hill.  |     |
| Yes we approve speed reduction to 50km for safety reasons, as dump trucks drive down hill towards corner too fast, as well as those coming on road increase speed towards quarry road.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Along with proper enforcement.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Dangerous hidden driveways - need sign @ 503 Lavigne.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. I would like to see the speed limit reduced to 50 now that our road has more use with all the new builds and with the quarry being busy with large trucks. I still enjoy walking the country road and I would like to see some respect to sharing the road.       |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Speed change is great but there is no one to enforce it.  |     |
| No maintain 60. Would like 2 signs to slowdown going on top of the hill 440 Lavigne going down and another going out at the bottom of hill past 440 Lavigne - showing family walking.   |     |
| No maintain 60. Reducing speed will not change anything!  |     |



| MacPherson Drive - 60 to 50   |     |
|---|-----|
| Letters sent: 114   |     |
| Yes   | No  |
| 21  | 17  |
| 55%   | 45% |
| <b>Comments:</b>  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. With influx of new homes and additional development proposed speed has become a serious issue.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. We definitely agree with the proposal to reduce the speed limit on MacPherson Drive from 60 mph to 50 mph. However we would also like to suggest reducing the speed limit even further, perhaps to 40 mph, on the S curve between Woodcliffe Road and Bayshore Road. We have often had to dodge speeding cars as we enter or exit our driveway.   |     |
| No maintain 60. 100% against lowering speed limit. I have lived here for 5 years and have had very little issues. Lowering will not make the road any safer. Walkers wearing dark colours or walking 3-4 wide are the big issue. Big trucks have been a problem. They are sometimes going fast around corners.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Consideration should be given to 40km/h at the S curves near bayshore lane. Site lines & geometrics are poor.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 50 in certain sections - dangerous S curve & blind corners. To make the road more safe: 1. Stop construction vehicles parking on the road - incredible hazard. 2. Post larger signs advising motorists about speed. 3. Install sign that lights up when above speed limit. 4. Install lighting on dangerous curves. Can't have development without investment in infrastructure. No way to enforce speed limits so education is critical, therefore more signs required. Construction parking is a hazard and problem for 8 months out of the year. East Ferris can control this. |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Here's hoping drivers respect it! Safety is #1. Thank you for your efforts.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Hope this helps solve the problem but it doesn't replace common sense. Winter snowbanks, contractor vehicles, heavy trucks, school buses all make a narrow road even narrower. Drivers are not courteous enough to anticipate & slow down to avoid potential accidents. Speed is only part of the problem. Thank you for considering this solution.   |     |
| No maintain 60. 60 is a safe speed but is not enforced so is often exceeded. Enforcing the current limit would be more effective than reducing it. Residents should also be reminded that the road is meant for vehicles - it is not a playground, basketball court or nature trail.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. We live on the straight stretch of MacPherson just before centennial and vehicles often speed here, so we fully support a speed reduction.  |     |
| No maintain 60. The speed limit is fine.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Currently very dangerous for people walking, running and cycling along the road. Lots of blind corners & long curves. Too many vehicles travelling too fast.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Speed limits help but also need 1. Wider pedestrian boulevards. 2. Regular enforcement by police.   |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Problems with road: 1. Increased development/traffic. 2. Lack of shoulders in some areas with very deep ditches. 3. Poor snow removal/winter maintenance.   |     |
| No maintain 60. Enforce the 60km/h  |     |
| No maintain 60. 60km is a reasonable speed for MacPherson Dr. If the municipality is serious about public safety, enforcement of the existing speed limit would increase safety rather than lowering to a limit that still has zero enforcement.  |     |

|  |
|--|
| Yes reduce to 50. Great idea! Much safer for walkers, drivers and animals. Will it be enforced?  |
| Yes reduce to 50 km/h then maybe they will do 60 instead of 70 or 80 km/h.   |
| Yes reduce to 50. Needs to be done. The speeding on MacPherson Dr is terrible.   |
| Yes reduce to 50. I have previously brought this issue up in regards to safety concern due to hill by 208 MacPherson. Also I would like to add that different speed limits on Centennial are different - off highway is 50, from MacPherson is 60 on SAME road.  |
| No maintain 60. MacPherson should stay at 60 but reducing speeds on certain corners (420 & 720) would be beneficial.   |
| No maintain 60. I'm not for speed reduction on MacPherson Dr. I am going to comment on walkers & bikers who walk & ride 2-3 across and will not move. It would be different if they go speed limits, but they don't! They should know the rules of the road. They don't seem to have any fear. You had a chance to widen the road when it was resurfaced a few years back, but didn't! |
| No maintain 60. Reducing speed will not change anything!   |





## Nosbonsing Park Road - 60 to 50

**Letters sent: 50**

| Yes | No  |
|-----|-----|
| 21  | 6   |
| 78% | 22% |

### Comments:

Yes reduce to 50. Given Nosbonsing Park Rd is a twisting narrow gravel road, on which many enjoy walking - it would not be untoward to reduce the speed to 40 KM. If 40 is considered for Waukegan, a paved road, it would be a travesty to not reduce our road to match.

60 KM is totally unsafe. Wildlife frequently crosses the road, and near our house, at 420, we have several in the ditch every winter as they fail to navigate the curve. Yes, this is the curve on which a death occurred several years ago. Many times a day after grading the sides of the road are eroded and there is washboard and potholes. There is insufficient gravel on the road - and the hills produce runoff that erodes the edges.

Nosbonsing Park is a dead end loop... but unfortunately there are enough short term rentals and construction projects that many who drive the road do not realize how slippery mud can be, never mind snow in the winter. Even local sometimes forget how dangerous the road can be in many conditions. Just ask the driver of the GFL garbage truck who ended up in our ditch last winter, narrowly missing us as we finished our snowshoe trek!

Yes reduce to 50. This reduction is long overdue, should have been implemented years ago. It is physically impossible to maintain 60 on this road given the camber, curves, elevation changes, potholes. My reasons are many!

The road itself twists and has many elevation changes, which results in sightlines that are marginal. I know of at least 1 resident who told me that they have 3 deer on this road. My brother-in-law hit a deer, which caused considerable front end damage, less than 1 km from my house.

There is usually either washboard or potholes, or both at the same time. The shoulders are often badly eroded.

Some of the corners seem to be cambered in the wrong direction? I believe that the camber issues have been corrected to some degree.

There is often so little gravel content on the road, that the mud we drive on is slippery when wet.

A friend of ours tried an experiment with their new Dodge Ram pickup. They put the truck on cruise control, at 60 km/hr and attempted to drive the length of Nosbonsing Park Rd. They were unable to maintain cruise at numerous spots. This is a police officer, in a brand new vehicle.

What my wife and I are not sure about, is why the speed limit on Waukegan is under review, from 50 to 40 km/hr.

Waukegan has many fewer curves, much less elevation change, and is paved. If Waukegan becomes 40 km/hr, Nosbonsing Park Rd, by the same logic should also be 40 km/hr!

No maintain 60. People will drive as fast as they feel like. Without enforcement, reducing the speed limit will do very little in the way of changing behaviour. Thank you very much for both the opportunity and method of soliciting public feedback/opinion.

Yes reduce to 50. 100% agree with reducing the speed limit. I have come close to getting hit walking my dogs several times. Thank you for bringing up this issue.

Yes reduce to 50. 1. Dead end road - local traffic but issue is mostly with AirBNB. 2. School bus goes was too fast. 3. Some incline/decline (depending on which hill/curve) grade is "off". 4. To protect pedestrians (children, adults, & mostly seniors). 5. Couldn't find on township website bylaw of 50km/h if not posted. Ontario.ca states such.

Yes reduce to 50. As the density of residences increases so does the foot traffic and I believe this reduction is warranted for safety.

No maintain 60. The issue on our road isn't the speed limit. Rather, it's people walking 2, 3, 4 wide and in the middle of the road.

|   |
|---|
| Yes reduce to 50. Of course i support this drop as most of the residents of our road do! Excessive speed, extremely sharp turns, hills and a bad road base combne to make our road unsafe and deadly. REDUCE SPEED is only the first change that must be made to Nosbonsing Park Rd. My lakeside taxes need to be used to upgrade this heavily used cul-de-sac. |
| Yes reduce to 50. There are curves that are not correctly banked and vehicles regularly leave the road to land on private property. Only a matter of time before someone is seriously injured.  |
| Yes reduce to 50. The school bus is the worst offender.   |
| No maintain 60. No point is reducing the speed limit without enforcement.   |
| Yes reduce to 50. I do not drive but my friend does.  |
| Yes reduce to 50. A 'No Exit' sign would help the lost people trying to get to Bonfield off our road ... would help with traffic control too!   |
| Yes reduce to 50. 50km/h is fast enough due to the potholes & condition of road. There will always be those that exceed the limit. Dog walkers are spread across width of road often.   |
| Yes reduce to 50. 60 was always way to fast. A good speed is between 35-45, anyone going 60 is not from here and will end up in the ditch.  |
| Yes reduce to 50. The road is gravel & corners at a higher speed could cause skidding. We have new lots being developed; therefore more traffic. We have lots of deer! The road is not a thoroughfare; it makes a loop at the end and comes back out. There is no need for speed here.  |
| No maintain 60. I reside on Nosbonsing Park Rd & I see no reason to reduce speed limit. If there is an issue than more enforcement is required.   |
| Yes reduce to 50. In the best interest for pedestrian safety. Please lower the speed limit.   |
| Yes reduce to 50. Who will police it?   |
| Yes reduce to 50. Nosbonsing Park Rd needs to be built up. It doesn't hold up with just grading. Major pot holes appear after a few days.   |
| No maintain 60. There's a very long stretch with no houses if you did want to reduce it consider doing this only at the tip of the peninsula where there are actul residences.  |



| South Shore Road - 60 to 50  |     |
|--|-----|
| Letters sent: 78   |     |
| Yes  | No  |
| 7  | 8   |
| 47%  | 53% |
| <b>Comments:</b>   |     |
| Yes please reduce the speed from 60 to 50 on South Shore Rd  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Dumptrucks/construction vehicles in particular speed excessively on this road.   |     |
| <p>I do not want the speed limit reduced, 1. No reason to lower it as it is not a threat to anyone. 2. Most homes are built back away from the roadway. 3. By just posting lower speed is not going to change the speed of the traffic. If safety is the concern, then please consider these couple things. 1. Street lights as the road is dark at night. Or just a couple along the way at curves and intersections 2. Shoulders to be increased at least on one side to allow room for pedestrians walking. 3. Painted lines at least one in the centre of the roadway to help determine clearance for on coming traffic. And help for vision for plowing and driving in fog etc. 4. Proper winter maintenance for snow removal and sanding ( don't stop at Marina Rd) and then maybe come back later to finish it. Numerous times the salt and sanding is not done on the last half of the road. This resulting in ice packed road most of the winter 5. FIX the road. The condition of this road is an embarrassment to the township. The large bumps from previous frost heaves and not dealt with and these are just getting bigger every year. Erosion on the edge of the road surface is being eroded away from the road surface. Numerous holes just filled with patch and then gone again in a couple weeks with the same holes and more coming back. 6. By posting lower speed limit signs is not going to make the road way safer as it is unsafe for the reason above. 7. Two areas that need attention right away and of main concern, are at the area of the Address of 106 Southshore Road a large bump on the east bound side right at the hidden curve. People are going around the bump but are driving on the wrong side of the road in the hidden curve. The potential for serious accident is very high. Same thing in the intersection and just past the intersection of Southshore Road and Cedar Bay ( the Narrows ) as you go around the bend lots of holes and a huge bump causes drivers to drive around them and resulting in driving on the wrong side of the road. Please feel free to contact me anytime and I am willing to share my concerns for this issue or any other ones within the township and thank you for listening and being given to voice my concern. Or better yet way not drive down the road and experience the nice drive that it is. And try to enjoy the scenery as you swerve around the bumps and holes. Lets go for ride but let's take your car.</p> |     |
| No maintain 60. Fix the road! You don't have to lower the speed limit then. This road was last resurfaced in 2008 - 14 years and it is in very poor repair   |     |
| No maintain 60. How about a repaving job.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. People on this road drive way to fast. It's terrible how many beer cans are thrown out of vehicles.  |     |
| Yes reduce to 50. Anything to help people to slow down.  |     |
| No maintain 60. The poor condition of the road limits the speed anyway. Those driving too fast will not be deterred by the drop in the speed limit.  |     |
| No maintain 60. There are several areas that need repaving near #106 - hump in road moves drivers to oncoming traffic near cedar bay road - long area up to horse farm needs attention urgently.   |     |

Yes reduce to 50. Deer cross in group at both lot sides of my property. This is done, I'd say everyday - dawn, dusk - spring fall winter - I enjoy looking out my front window but at those times - for deer, working hours for workers - traffic is heavy & FAST always at times mentioned above. My heart is in my mouth - as we say: Deer are so close to being hit as they all (12-15 in a group) try to cross.

## Quae Quae Road - 70 to 60

**Letters sent: 76**

| Yes | No  |
|-----|-----|
| 14  | 18  |
| 44% | 56% |

### Comments:

Yes reduce to 60. We've seen the proposal to reduce the speed limit on QQ Rd from 70 to 60 kmh. We vehemently support that; it's great to see the Municipality proposing steps in that direction. I'd like to add to that proposal please, that is to lower the speed limit east of the QQ railway tracks from 60 to 50 kmh, and keep that limit until the Sunnyside border.

No maintain 70. Rather than reducing the speed limit (which is fine). Enforce the 70km/h. I haven't seen a speed trap or police presence on Quae Quae in 10 years!

No maintain 70. As a resident of Quae Quae, I believe the posted limit is fine. Quae Quae is a long road that if the speed is reduced will only add more time to city commuters.

No maintain 70. Definitely against the speed reduction. Adding to the daily commute time depreciates the value of an East Ferris residence.

Fix the latest road surface treatment and road noise/ excessive tire wear plus home owner dissatisfaction will fall.

No maintain 70. Hard no. I see people being very respectful on this stretch of road. If people are walking or working - most vehicles move all the way over when safe, to accommodate pedestrians & road or utility work.

Yes reduce to 60. Reduce Quae Quae Rd from Hurtubise to Sunnyside Rd to 50km/h also please.

Yes reduce to 60. The speed limit on Quae Quae from Hurtubise to Sunnyside should be reduced from 60 to 50 km/h.

Yes reduce to 60. This will only work if it is enforced.

Yes reduce to 60. I think lowering the speed limit on Quae Quae will make walking & biking more enjoyable. The real issue though, is the horrible pavement that was applied. The large stones make traffic very noisy. People visiting comment on how LOUD the pavement makes the traffic. Better pavement would greatly reduce the effects of traffic noise.

Yes reduce to 60. I fully endorse the resolution to reduce the posted speed limit to 60 km/h. I believe this will make use of Quae Quae Rd safer for pedestrians, cyclists and motorists.

Yes reduce to 60. Currently a need for better (more) speed limit signage and most important more enforcement. E.g. police and/or photo radar. People drive whatever speed they want regardless of posted speed, because they can with no repercussions.

Yes reduce to 60. People ride their bikes & pets along Quae Quae. It's very dangerous. We've almost been hit a few times walking our dog. The reduction in the speed limit is a great idea!

Yes reduce to 60. You should have speed camera's. I know expensive but they work.

No maintain 70. This is not the city. There are long distances to travel. There should be more enforcement on what side of the road people should walk on.



Yes reduce to 60. As well as reduced speed, it would be nice to heighten enforcement & have OPP more visible for a time. As residents of Quae Quae rd. since 2013, we are writing to voice our opinion facing the proposed change of speed limit from 70 km/h to 60 km/h. We are delighted to hear the suggested change as we have had many concerns throughout the years of speed, narrow roads, and dangerous winter conditions.

As the nearest road comparable is Corbeil Rd, sharing the same surface and speed limit, it has always been surprising the differences in maintenance and size. As the speed limits are currently equal, Quae Quae rd is much narrower with limited to no shoulder. Living at the bottom of a hill, we have witnessed many close encounters due to speed and careless driving. By reducing the speed limit, we would hope to feel safer taking walks with our pets and children.

As previously mentioned, Quae Quae rd. has little to no shoulder and is generally narrower than other main roads of East Ferris. As well as reducing the speed limit by 10 km/h, we would like to suggest lines be painted on Quae Quae to clearly identify both sides of the road. This would help drivers understand where the centerline is and avoid cars having to pull off the almost non existing shoulder and avoid oncoming traffic. Unfortunately, we have seen and been victim to too many cars getting pushed off the road given there is no clear markers.

During winter seasons, Quae Quae rd has never been maintained in the same manner as Corbeil Rd, which would suggest Quae Quae rd is therefore more dangerous. While the speed limit may say 70 km/h, it is a rare occasion to be able to safely drive more than 50-60 km/h in these winter months. If the township was able to maintain Quae Quae rd in the same manner it does Corbeil rd., this may be less of an issue. By reducing the speed limit, the township would be eliminating the need to spend tax dollars in maintenance.

## Waukegan Road - 60 to 50 or 40

**Letters sent: 41**

| Yes - 40 | Yes - 50 | No |
|----------|----------|----|
| 9        | 9        | 1  |
| 47%      | 47%      | 5% |

### Comments:

Yes reduce to 50. Perhaps slowing down the speed might protect the asphalt on the hill just before the left turn at the parkette. We live at 218 and as cars, school buses and delivery trucks brake hard down this hill before the turn, the asphalt is constantly cracking and breaking up. Currently there are chunks of pavement that are missing from the road just before our driveway.

Yes reduce to 50. We have no concern with keeping OR reducing speed limit on Waukegan Rd. Traffic is usually driving 50 or below in general because of the condition of the road. The only people who seem to speed are the tenants of the AirBnB at the very end of the street. Otherwise, drivers seem to be reasonable on Waukegan. Regarding reduction of the speed on other streets, we do NOT support the reduction of the speed limit on Quae Quae Rd. I understand this may be desirable to residents on that street specifically, but the road is a through street for traffic going between Bonfield/ East Ferris and part of the daily commute to and from work for many east Ferris and Bonfield residents. The reduction of the speed limit on Quae Quae impacts a lot of people and everyone should be consulted - not just the people who reside there. If you buy/build a house on Quae Quae rd, you do so with the understanding that it is a high traffic road. To change the speed limit on Quae Quae without consulting ALL impacted residents is unfair. If you want a quiet street with minimal traffic, don't buy/build a house on a high traffic road.

Yes reduce to 40. There are lots of families with small children, several regular residents walk the road frequently, lots of deer around and finally condition of the road.

Yes reduce to 50. Yes! We think the current speed limit should be reduced down to 50 km's from 60 km's.

Yes to reduce 50. 50km/h is plenty fast for Waukegan Rd - lots of young children & deer on that road.

Yes reduce to 40. As a family with three young children, we would love to see the speed limit reduced. The speed limit at 60 encourages even higher speeds making it dangerous for my kids to walk or bike on our road. We need this reduction to feel safer in our community. Thank you for taking the time to look at this.

Yes reduce to 40. More slow down signs.

Yes reduce to 40. There are lots of people walking especially with kids & dogs. 40 km/h seems like a much better and safer speed for the roadway and neighbourhood.

Yes reduce to 50. I only say this half tongue in cheek - I almost selected 60km/h as I don't really feel comfortable travelling along Waukegan any faster than 40 km/h given the current condition of the asphalt paving. The road is fast approaching 40 years old and some sections are in need of significant rehabilitation - not just cold patching. The section of the road at the bottom of the hill in front of the public access is in particularly deteriorated condition. I trust that rehabilitation of Waukegan Rd is included in the Municipality's overall asset renewal plans. I also hope my neighbours, whom I know feel the same way, take this opportunity to let you know how they feel as well.

Yes reduce to 50. As you enter and leave Waukegan it should be 40km because of the curve & hill. Then the rest of Waukegan should be 50km.

Yes reduce to 50. Much needed. I've witnessed excess speed along Waukegan Rd and especially at the tight curve & hill as you enter Waukegan estates ... many drive off this curve every winter. Would also recommend this hill/curve have its asphalt widened ASAP ... lots of shoulder driving.

Yes reduce to 40. 1. We have put a slow down sign on our driveway by road & cars ignore. 2. The vehicles (trucks, cars) by drivers local and visiting have been driving over 60km. My road has more children and grand children where an accident will occur should they step on the road. Deers cross the road every 24 hours. All age drivers in my area seem to drive over the 60km speed limit. I would like 40km but will accept 50km. 3. We have lived at our residence 4 years and cars always fast.